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## Overview

- TALP Statistical Machine Translation (SMT)
- Integrated speech-text approach
- Finite-State Transducer (FST) implementation
- Automatically learnt from parallel corpus
- Bilingual units called tuples


## Translation generation

- Maximising joint probability
- Variable-length N-gram of bilingual units (tuples)

X-gram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{f}=\underset{f}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(e, f) \\
& p(e, f)=\prod_{n=1}^{N} p\left((e, f)_{n} \mid(e, f)_{n-1} \ldots(e, f)_{n-X+1}\right) \\
& (e, f)_{n}=\left(e_{i(n)} \ldots e_{i(n)+I(n)}, f_{j(n)} \ldots f_{j(n)+J(n)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

tuple

## FST implementation

- Search for best-scoring path
- Speech translation: include acoustic models



## Training



## Preprocessing

- Particular for each pair of languages
- Categorisation personal names, dates, times, numbers, ...
- Chinese-English IWSLT'04 supplied track:
- Clearing out punctuation no gain
- Segmentation of longer sentences

|  | \# sentences | Lavg |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chinese | $20 \mathrm{~K}(22.2 \mathrm{~K})$ | $9.1(8.2)$ |
| English |  | $9.4(8.5)$ |

## Word alignment

- Standard GIZA++ alignments $15 H^{5} 3^{3} 4^{3}$
- Source-to-Target (s2t)
- Target-to-Source (t2s)
- Union
- Intersection
- Tuples can be extracted from any alignment
- Usually union and s2t are used


## Tuples extraction

- Tuples are bilingual units containing
- one or more source words
- zero, one or more target words
- Subset of phrases, unique under following conditions
- Example


1. Monotonous segmentation of the pair
2. Words are consecutive along both source and target
3. No word in the tuple is aligned to a word outside the tuple
4. The tuple cannot be decomposed without violating 1-3

## Embedded words dictionary

- Embedded words
- translation appears always inside a tuple (never isolated)
- an 'accurate' dictionary is built intersection
- New unigrams (history independent)

DICTIONARY ENTRIES:


Given a source word, look for the most freq. aligned words

1. Target words are consecutive
2. Target words are aligned only to the source word

## X-gram estimation

```
$ (f1f2, \mp@subsup{e}{1}{})(\mp@subsup{f}{3}{},\mp@subsup{e}{2}{}\mp@subsup{e}{3}{}\mp@subsup{e}{4}{})(\mp@subsup{f}{4}{}\mp@subsup{f}{5}{}\mp@subsup{f}{6}{},\mp@subsup{e}{5}{}\mp@subsup{e}{6}{})$
```

$$
p(e, f)=\prod_{n=1}^{N} p\left(T_{n} \mid T_{n-1} \ldots T_{n-X+1}\right)
$$

- Usually, maximum memory is 3
- Pruning strategies
- Min. number of times a certain history must occur $k$
- threshold of divergence between output prob. distributions for two nodes sharing recent history $\quad f$
$k$ is untouched (= 1)
$f$ used for slight pruning ( $f=0.2$ )


## Chinese-English supplied track

- Results on development set
- Union (aU) vs. s2t alignment (a2)
- Normal vs. segmented corpus (seg)
- Normal vs. FST pruning (f)

| run | BLEU | NIST | WER | PER | GTM | E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| aU | 0.244 | 5.169 | 0.615 | 0.529 | 0.591 | 7 |  |
| aU,seg | 0.251 | 5.187 | 0.607 | 0.521 | 0.595 | 7 |  |
| aU, seg,f | 0.255 | 5.210 | 0.603 | 0.518 | 0.594 | 7 |  |
| a2 | 0.319 | 3.789 | 0.614 | 0.552 | 0.573 | 16 |  |
| a2,seg | 0.318 | 3.871 | 0.606 | 0.546 | 0.573 | 18 |  |
| a2,seg,f | 0.314 | 3.678 | 0.607 | 0.548 | 0.570 | 19 |  |$>$ run $B$


| no embed. | aU,seg,-D | 0.264 | 4.741 | 0.606 | 0.524 | 0.592 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| dictionary | a2,seg,-D | 0.315 | 3.706 | 0.607 | 0.547 | 0.571 | 19 |

## Automatic evaluation results

- Statistics of submitted runs

| run | tuples | vcb | length | embed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aU,seg,f | 97 K | 27 K | 3.9 | 4.7 K |
| a2,seg,f | 140 K | 29 K | 2.9 | 1.5 K |

- Longer tuples with Union (more embedded)
- Many tuples to NULL with s2t (28\% over total, 7.5\% union)
- Results

| run | BLEU | NIST | WER | PER | GTM | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aU,seg,f | 0.279 | 6.778 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6 5}$ | 0.647 | 5 |
| a2,seg,f | 0.331 | 5.391 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5 0}$ | 0.490 | 0.620 | 11 |

- Contrast BLEU vs. NIST (related to length)


## Manual evaluation results

- Results

| run | fluency | adequacy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aU, seg,f | 2.792 | 3.022 |

- Expected fluency deficiency no explicit long reordering
- 'Much of the information' is transmitted
- Examples:

Translation: that what time start
Reference1: what time does it start
Translation: stomach very hurts
Reference1: i have a severe pain in my stomach

## Conclusion and further research

- Tuple-based FST translation system presented
- Adequate for pairs of languages similar in word-order
- Further research
- Embedded N-grams
- Generalization of tuples
- Explicit reordering techniques
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