AP-10 INTERCOMPARISON BETWEEN **VLBI FREQUENCY TRANSFER AND OTHER TECHNIQUES**

Hiroshi Takiguchi¹ (htaki@nict.go.jp), Y. Koyama¹, R. Ichikawa¹, T. Gotoh¹, A. Ishii², T. Hobiger¹, M. Fujieda¹, J. Amagai¹, and M. Hosokawa¹

¹ National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, 893-1 Hirai, Kashima, Ibaraki, 314-8501, Japan ² Advanced Engineering Services Co., Ltd., 1-6-1 Takezono, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0032, Japan

Introduction



As one of the new frequency transfer technique to compare the next highly stable frequency standards, we proposed the geodetic VLBI technique.

1. Developing a compact VLBI system

MARBLE SYSTEM Multiple Antenna Radio-interferometry of Baseline Length Evaluation 2. Verifying the ability of VLBI frequency transfer

to show the capability of the current VLBI system Intercomparison between VLBI and other techniques

Previous Study

Comparison between VLBI and GPS using IVS and IGS data





Onsala-Wettzell baseline at each site VLBI and GPS are <u>sharing</u> the H-maser

> In general, the VLBI frequency transfer stability follows a 1/ law verv close when averaging up to 104s.

The geodetic VLBI technique has the potential for precise frequency transfer

Development of a Compact VLBI System

We are developing a compact and transportable VLBI system to certificate the length of the reference baseline, based on a collaboration between Geospatial Information Authority of Japan and NICT.



- antenna baseline
- short integration time and increase the number of scan - cancel the effects of the large antenna's problems
- (gravitational and thermal deformation)

Intercomparison between VLBI and Other Techniques

NICT has several T&F transfer techniques other than VLBI such as using GPS and telecommunication satellites at NICT Koganei Headquaters and Kashima Space Research Center



to show the capability of the current VLBI system Intercomparison between VLBI and other techniques

Kashima 34m - Kashima 11m baseline

VLBI (multi channel sampling), GPS Carrier Phase, DMTD(Dual Mixer Time Difference)



Frequency Stability Allan Standard Deviation



Summarv

- Time differences
- VLBI vs. GPS and DMTD Good agreement : ±500ps
- VLBI is more stable than GPS same baseline and same period over 10³ averaging time VLBI stability : follows a 1/

ashima

Latest Observation

ime Transfer

Kashima – Koganei baseline VLBI 1(multi channel sampling), VLBI 2(wide band sampling), GPS Carrier Phase, TWSTFT (DPN: Dual Pseudo random Noises), ETS8 (TCE: Time Comparison Equipment)



About ETS8(TCE), please see the poser : AP-9 Nakagawa et al., "TIME AND FREQUENCY TRANSFER EXPERIMENTS BETWEEN TWO EARTH-BASED CLOCKS USING ETS-VIII SATELLITE" References

Ishii et al., nt status of development of a transportable and compact VLBI system by NICT and GSI, 6th IVS GM Proc., 2010 VLBI MEASUREMENTS FOR TIME AND FREQUENCY TRANSFER, ATF 2008 Proc., 2008. seline in VLBI, JGU 2009 Abstract, D107-005, 2009 art II -, IVS NICT-TDC News, No.30, 26-29, 2009. ation of the new approach to impr arison Study of VLBI and GPS Ca