

	Parameters	Values	
	On-source time for each source [s]	180	
	SEFD _Y [Jy]	286	
	SEFD _T [Jy]	324	
	Observation frequency [GHz]	8.192 ~ 8.704	
	Bandwidth [MHz]	512	
	Observation epoch	2012 December 1, 2, 3, 8, 24	
	SINET4 苫小牧 11m GEMNET JGN-X Local Access line GEMNET (運用停止中) 日田 64m 上海道大学	Yamaguchi station	
山口 32m 野辺山 45m 山口大学 支阜11m 核融合科学研究所 高工不研 して、 中 大学 「 「 「 」 「 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 「 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 「 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」 」		Tsukuba station	
		Baseline length : 804kr	

We detected 29 radio sources in our observations. Tab. 2 shows 2FGL name, Radio name, flux density observed by our observations, uv-length, brightness temperature of those. The brightness temperature is lower limit because we here assume to be the fringe spacing depending on each uv-length.

* This source is not listed in Fermi 2nd catalog but newly detected source by LAT^[14].

We could detect 29 sources!!!

Tab 2. Detected sources.

Radio name	Flux density	uv-length	brightness
			temperature
	8.6GHz [mJy]	[Μλ]	[×10 ⁶ K]
J0102+0944	12.4	22.51	3.69
J0158+0101	20.7	22.40	6.10
J0152+8602	23.5	21.77	6.55
J0226+0937	158.6	20.52	39.28
J0227+2248	32.7	18.62	6.67
J0307+4915	184.0	22.48	54.68
J0331+6308	19.7	22.51	5.87
J0440+2604	9.3	20.48	2.29
J0601+3838	90.5	22.03	25.83
J0723+2859	60.5	22.24	17.59
J0923+1505	14.9	22.34	4.37
J1015+5551	102.6	22.39	30.26
J1208-2248	23.4	19.20	5.08
J1209-2254	20.7	20.91	5.31
J1254-2208	61.5	20.58	15.33
J1502+5554	42.1	21.52	11.48
J1548+1452	32.8	21.79	9.17
J1611+1410	62.9	22.48	18.69
J1615+4703	16.3	22.48	4.85
J1704+1234	41.7	22.47	12.38
J1731+5428	6.6	20.96	1.70
J1737+8717	27.7	22.20	8.02
J1835+1348	121.3	21.11	31.78
J1844+1546	27.3	20.37	6.67
J1949+1225	14.6	14.56	1.83
J2108+3655	60.7	20.79	15.43
J2133+6647	22.1	20.86	5.65
J2227+0044	16.3	22.20	4.73
J1418+3542	77.3	18.01	14.74

< WISE Gamma-ray Strip (WGS) >

Detected sources are considered to be AGNs because of their high brightness temperature. But we could not determine which types of AGNs these sources were with only flux density. Therefore, to estimate types of detected sources as possible, we used a method introduced in Massaro et al. (2012)^[12].

The simply explanation of that is shown here. This method uses WISE Gamma-ray Strip (WGS), which is the region described by gamma-ray emitting blazars in WISE colorcolor space. First, we calculate parameter s which shows if sample sources belong to WGS. Second, We applied thresholds introduced in Massaro et al (2012) to parameter 's' and estimate which sample sources are blazars. Vertical and horizontal lines of Fig. 5. show colors calculated from WISE data and quadrilateral show WGS of BL Lacs (blue) or FSRQs(red). Parameter s of BL Lac and FSRQ are $s_{\rm b}$ and s_{σ} respectively. By this method, 7 sources are possible to be BL Lacs and 2 sources are possible to be FSRQ.



< Spectral index >

We conducted follow-up observations of 6 detected sources at S-band, X-band and U-band by VLBA. In this poster, we show the estimated indices of 6 sources in Tab. 3. We estimated the spectral indices by using peak flux densities at three bands. Here, we convoluted 5×5 mas beam with each clean image maps because, at S-band, the beam size is too large to detect jet component and we must adjust beam size to that of S-band. In Tab. 3, Each column show radio name, NVSS flux density, observed flux density by e-VLBI, VLBA flux density and estimated spectral indices by our observation and VLBA observation, respectively. 5 out of 6 sources are expected to be possible blazar because the spectrum at 2

-15 GHz is flatter than the spectral index of typical blazar (~ 0.3) , which possibly show the possibility of beaming effect at jet of AGN.

Furthermore, we show also the images of J0307+4915 in Fig.4 as an example of sources having jet component. The images show jet component in the northeast direction.



Observations of the rest of radio samples (366 sources)

[1] Fossati et al., 1998, MNRAS, 299, 433 [2] Padovani et al., 2012, MNRAS, 422, 48 [3] Nolan et al., 2011, ApJ, 743, 171 [5] Condon et al., 1998, AJ, 115, 1693 [6] Becker et al., 1995, ApJ, 450, 559 [7] http://veraserver.mtk.nao.ac.jp/evlbi/network/ [8] Bernieri et al., 2013, A&A, 551, 5 [9] Ahn et al., 2012, ApJS, 203, 21 [10] Skrutskie et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 1163 [11] Wright et al., 2010, AJ, 140, 1868 [12] Massaro et al., 2012, 750, 138 [13] Ackermann et al., 2011, 743, 171 [14] Bernieri et al., 2013, A&A, 551, 5

sources.

Discussion

(By Massaro way)





Fig. 4. Correlation diagram. Left panel show photon flux from 1GeV to 100 GeV vs. radio flux density at 1.4GHz. Right one show flux from 1GeV to 100 GeV vs. Photon index from 1GeV to 100 GeV.

We compared the correlation diagram of detected sources with the one of 2LAC^[13]. Trend of left panel show that detected sources are possibly high synchrotron peaked (HSP) BL Lacs. On the other hand, that of right panel show detected sources are possibly FSRQs. This trend possibly show that detected sources are different trend from 2LAC blazars.

