

平成 28 年度日欧共同公募委託研究（第 3 弾）終了レビュー評価結果（概要）  
（研究期間 平成 28 年度～令和元年度）

| 研究開発課題名   | 受託者（共同研究者）  |
|---|---|
| <p><b>採択番号 19201</b><br/>高齢者の活動的・健康的な生活を実現するための欧州との連携によるネットワークプラットフォーム基盤技術の研究開発<br/><b>副題</b><br/>アジャイル型共創による高齢者補助ロボット用ネットワークプラットフォーム技術の研究開発<br/><b>Acronym ACCRA</b></p> | <p>国立大学法人京都大学<br/>（国立大学法人神戸大学、株式会社コネクトドット）<br/>（Trialog(フランス)、Scuola Superiore Sant' Anna(イタリア)、Erasmus University Rotterdam(オランダ)、Paris Dauphine University(フランス)、Blue Frog Robotics(フランス)、IRCCS - Fondazione Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza(イタリア)）</p>  |
| <p>評価※</p>  | <p>Project has fully achieved its objectives and milestones for the period.</p>   |
| <p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; text-orientation: upright;">主な評価コメント</p>   | <p>Overall, the project has ambitious scientific and technological objectives and the progress reported justifies this ambition. The team has made great effort and achievements. The project is a joint European-Japanese initiative including a multidisciplinary team of European and Japanese partners. The obtained results showed an efficient and synergistic collaboration between Europe and Japan, which has delivered relevant new results.</p> <p>The project develops and utilizes the methodology of agile co-creation which includes needs assessment, co-creation phase, experimentation and sustainability analysis. This agile co-creation process is applied iteratively to each application deployment.</p> <p>The ACCRA solution accounts for different cultures across the EU and Japan and also a variety of individual preferences. The different applications have been co-created in different countries: Mobility Walking in Italy and The Netherlands, Daily Life in France and The Netherlands and Conversation rehabilitation in Italy and Japan.</p> <p>With regard to the experimentation in pilot sites, partners delivered the study on the three sites. The detailed information obtained provided lessons to be learned and recommendations for future experimentations with robotic solutions not only from a technical, but also from an organizational and methodological point of view. They could have used the comparative resources available through the detailed documentation of each case to reflect on the cultural differences and how these matter regarding the expectations towards and potential future use of robots. This is particular importance, as a wider use of this technology might need to offer context-sensitive specificities. Much interesting information has been collected in particular on the usage of the robot to prevent falls in the elderly population and in daily life activities.</p> <p>The dissemination activities of the project were very well performed on all scales, scientific dissemination, participation to conferences, academic and beyond, outreach events, awards and further more. Even a number of post-project dissemination activities are scheduled.</p> <p>The quality and effectiveness of the project management is good. No particular problems related to the project were reported. Almost all the deliverables were achieved within initial timelines without significant delays or negative impact on the overall progress of the work.</p> |
| <p>※評価<br/>ランク表</p>   | <p>(1) Project has fully achieved its objectives and milestones for the period.<br/>(2) Project has achieved most of its objectives and milestones for the period with relatively minor deviations.<br/>(3) Project has achieved some of its objectives and milestones; however, corrective action will be required.<br/>(4) Project has failed to achieve critical objectives and/or milestones and/or is severely delayed.</p>  |