Applicability Analysis of Corpus-derived Paraphrases toward Example-based Paraphrasing

Kiyonori Ohtake[†]

Kazuhide Yamamoto^{†‡}

†ATR Spoken Language Translation Research Laboratories, Japan ‡Department of Electrical Engineering, Nagaoka University of Technology, Japan

Objective

To answer the questions:

- 1. How many paraphrases that are automatically extracted can be directly applied to paraphrasing?
- 2. What types of paraphrasing will be achieved by the extracted examples?

Background

- Paraphrasing is translation
 ⇒ Example-based paraphrasing
- Extract paraphrases from bilingual corpora
- How many paraphrases are desirable, valuable?

Target of analysis

- 1. Adjectival predicate \rightarrow non-adjectival one
- 2. Passive form \rightarrow non-passive form

Adjectival predicate and passive formed sentences are

- frequently used, and
- play several functional roles → express several meanings

Happy if we can paraphrase them into other expression.

Results - applicable paraphrases

	adjectival	passive
	predicate	forms
(A)	56.45%	60.62%
(B)	33.69%	37.53%
(C)	9.86%	1.86%

- (A): context independent
- (B): depend on context
- (C): improper

Conclusion

- How many paraphrases are directly applicable?
 Almost 60% (both adjectival predicates and passive forms)
- What types of paraphrases are extracted?
 Desirable examples: adjectival predicates - 35%, passive forms - 70%

Results - types of paraphrases

	adjectival	passive
	predicate	forms
Lexical	30.20%	12.59%
Syntactical	17.18%	8.50%
Lexical and Syntactical	15.54%	6.80%
Semantic	37.08%	72.11%

⁽Desirable for ex.-based paraphrasing