# Retrieval of Latent Heating Profiles from TRMM Radar Data

Shinsuke Satoh Communications Research Laboratory, Japan



### **Objectives:**

- $\cdot$  to propose a new latent heating algorithm using TRMM PR data
- $\cdot$  to display the application of the algorithm to TRMM observation cases

### Importance:

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  the major energy source driving global-, meso-, and cloud-scale circulation
- TRMM data assimilation for numerical prediction models

# **Introduction**

(1) Previous works

$$LH = \frac{Lv}{C_P}(c-e) + \frac{Lf}{C_P}(f-m) + \frac{Ls}{C_P}(d-s)$$

Tao(1993), Olson (1999)

 $\int_{0}^{ZTOP} \mu LH dz \approx \frac{Lv}{C_{P}} R / 3600$ 

Yanai (1973), Tao(1993)

- •GPROF algorithm (2A12) by Kummerow (1996), Olson(1996)  $\rightarrow$  Bayesian technique
- •Hydrometeor/Heating algorithm by Tao (1990)
- $\rightarrow$  Hydrometeor profiles estimation from TMI data
- •Convective-Stratiform Heating Algorithm by Tao (1993, 2000)
  - $\rightarrow$  Stratiform amount, surface rainfall, look-up table
  - (Cloud Resolving Model is the basic of every algorithm)

## (2) The significance of the PR heating algorithm

NOT depend on numerical model results

Advantage of PR data

- fine resolution ( $\Delta X$ =4.2 km,  $\Delta Z$ =0.25 km)
- •uniform quality everywhere (over ocean, land, ice/snow surface)

Limitation of PR data

- difficult to classify various hydrometeor (rain, snow, graupel/hail)
- •impossible to detect cloud, drizzle, weak precipitation (R<0.5mm/h)



- •Validation/Improvement of the TMI heating algorithm
- •Application to over-land data in high-latitude (GPM)



## Ze-R and WC-Ze relations in 2A25 (Iguchi, 2000)



The initial Ze-R and WC-Ze parameters for stratiform (Ze =  $a R^{b}$ , WC =  $a_{W} Ze^{b_{w}}$ )

 $a_{w} \times 10^{3}$ 

3.836

3.250

0.743

1.998

2.238

b

1.294

1.308

1.372

1.446

1.487

*a* 250.8

304.6

1649.3

283.9

275.7

A B

С

D

Е

The initial Ze-R and WC-Ze parameters						
for convective ( $Z_e = a R^b$ , $WC = a_w Z_e$	ə <sup>b</sup> w					

	а	b	<i>а</i> и×10 <sup>3</sup>	bw
Α	174.1	1.323	6.209	0.689
В	159.5	1.511	3.918	0.579
С	159.5	1.511	3.918	0.579
D	159.5	1.511	3.918	0.579
Ε	147.5	1.554	4.444	0.562

The basic DSD is assumed to the Gamma distribution:  $N(D) = N_0 D^{\mu} \exp(-\Lambda D)$ 

bw

0.713

0.705

0.666

0.613

0.597

	stratiform		convective	
version 4	μ = 1	$N_0 = 10600$	μ = 1	$N_0 = 37500$
version 5	μ = 3	$N_0 = 3175\Lambda^{1.54}$	μ = 3	$N_0 = 2724\Lambda^{2.25}$

The terminal velocity is expressed by Gunn-Kinzer (rain):  $v(D) = 4.854D \exp(-0.195D)$ Magono-Nakamura (snow):  $v(\rho_s) = 330 (\rho_s - \rho_a)^{0.25}$ 

#### Determination and adjustment of Ze-R parameters in 2A25 (Iguchi et al, 2000)

(1) Using the two basic DSD model (Kozu et al. 1999) determined from world-wide Z-R collections,

(a) the rainfall rate (*R*):  $R = \int (\pi/6) N(D) D^3 v(D) dD \times (\rho_{a0}/\rho_a)^{0.4}$ 

(b) the equivalent reflectivity factor ( $Z_e$ ) at 13.8 GHz from Mie-scattering formula

(c) the specific attenuation (k)

are calculated for different temperatures and different phase states.

(2) From the pairs (*k*, *Z<sub>e</sub>*), (*Z<sub>e</sub>*, *R*), the regression coefficients of *k-Z<sub>e</sub>*, *Z<sub>e</sub>-R* relations are calculated for different temperatures and different phase states.

(3) The initial k-Ze, Ze-R parameters are adjusted through the attenuation correction process.



# Input data (2A25) for the heating algorithm











## <u>Comparison between estimated w profiles</u> and simulated w profiles by ARPS model









### A developing typhoon observed on Aug 2, 2000





# **Conclusions**

- (1) The latent heating retrieval algorithm using TRMM PR data (2A25) is proposed. The application of the algorithm to actual observation data (squall line, typhoons) provides realistic heating profiles averaged in both convective and stratiform regions.
- (2) Although the estimated w-profile distribution by a cubic function appears to unreal, it may be suitable in a time-space averaging sense.
- (3) The accuracy of the retrieved heating seems to depend on the surface rainfall estimation in 2A25. The rainfall type classification in 2A23 also affects the retrieved heating profiles. The influence of the cloud top estimation and the assumption of a simple temperature profile (to estimate  $q_{\rm vs}/dz$ ) is small.
- (4) In future works, we have to apply the algorithm to various cloud systems over various regions with validation data. Also, we should evaluate the accuracy of the heating profiles is adequate for statistical climate studies or data assimilation studies.

### **Acknowledgments**

Drs. T. Kozu and T. Iguchi provided their calculation of Z-WC relation. Drs. S. Weygant, G. Bassett, K. Droegemeier, and A. Noda helped me to use the ARPS model of CAPS, Univ. of Oklahoma. This research was supported by NASDA.